KANEPACKAGE PHILIPPINE INC. No. 5 Ring Road LISP II, Brgy. La Mesa, Calamba City, Laguna Telephone No. (049) 545-7166 to 69 Fax No. (049) 545-6302			INVESTIGATION REPORT FORM (IRF) Inhouse Detection Customer Claim Control No.: IRF-24-01-0005 Date Issued: 12-Jan-24					
atomor	EPPI		Attention To	N. CEPEDA/ R. A	LMARIO			
stomer	5167137-00		Department	Department KPLIMA- PRODUCTION				
HOODS			Date of Detection 240111 NS					
			Section Detected INLINE QA/M3					
Order Number		DRODI EM	Major Major		Minor			
	ILLUSTRATION OF THE	PROBLEM	Lot Quantity (pcs.)	Reject Quan	tity (pcs.)	Reject Percentage		
- 11 112			587 40		6.81%			
EPSON GARA MODO	EPSON Esther V220		Nature of Defect:	FADED CHA	ION; NO OCCL	JRRENCE OF FADED		
In the second se		EPSON" EcoTank M.	FADED C	CHARACTER WAS EN (PLEASE SEE ATT	ACHED PICTU	T		
NO. OF OCC	URRENCE	DISPOSITION	AREA OF C	OCCURRENCE / ORI	GIN	CONTENT		
First	Tour not	Hold	Slotter	Gluing		Material		
Recurrence		Special Acceptance	EQOS	Vertica	al	Dimension		
No.:	IF	For Rework	Diecut	Others	S:	Appearance		
Date:		Reject / Disposal	Detaching			Process / Metho		
Japay QA-IE Staff Checked by G. Magsiro QA Superviso		Checked by	Approv	red by		Received by (Receiving Section)		
		7	QA Asst.	Manager	N. Cepeda/ R. Almario Head/ Supervisor/ Manager			
		The state of the s	TIGATION / ANALYSIS	CALISE: (Analyze the I	reason of occur	rence, why it leaked?)		
DIRECT CAU	SE: (Analyze the reason o	f occurrence, why it happened?)		CAUSE: (Analyze the I	eason or occur	Tonico, imiy in a same y		
Why 1: Why 2: Why 3: Why 4: Why 5:			Why 1: Why 2: Why 3: Why 4: Why 5:					
Why 1:			Why 1:					
			Why 2:					
Why 2: Why 3: Why 4:			Why 3:					
UB Why 4:			Why 4:					
Why 5:			Why 5:					
Why 1:			Why 1:					
My 2: Why 3: Why 4:			Why 2: Why 3:					
Why 4:			Why 4:					

KANEPACKAGE PHILIPPINE INC. No. 5 Ring Road LISP II, Brgy. La Mesa, Calamba City, Laguna Telephone No. (049) 545-7166 to 69 Fax No. (049) 545-6302

INVESTIGATION REPORT FORM (IRF)

				FINAL C	ONCLUSION			
	OCCURREN	ICE ROOTCAUSE					OUTFLOW ROOTCAUSE	
IMMEDIATE ACT	ION: Waller to be t		San Asia San		No. of the last of			
A. Sorting Result	ION. (Action to be do	ne to contain/ temporary	correct the pr	roblem found)	CORRE	an hardware to be	DN: (Actions to be done to ensure that the	problem will not happen again)
Corting Result	Location					Actions to	be done to eliminate recurrence	Who / Wh
RM	Location	Total Stock	NG	Total Good				
WIP					System			
-G								
Orientation		Management of the second						
Pate		Time						
Time Title					Design / Tools			
Reworking	23 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6			December 1				
lework Quantity								
otal Good					Process			
ework Percentage (G	ood)							
-		DN (To be filled ou						
		d Rootcause	t by QA In-	charge)	Date Conduct	ed:	PIC:	
	Identifie	u Rootcause					Recommendation	
The New York	Sid	III. CORREC	CTIVE ACT	ON VERIEICAT	ION (Table (II)			
	Che		THE PERSON NAMED IN	ON VERIFICAT		ed out by Q	HIS NOTE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	
		III. CORREC	Date	ON VERIFICAT		ed out by Q	A In-charge) Remarks	
st Verification of Acti			THE PERSON NAMED IN	of the second terms and the second second		ed out by Q	HIS NOTE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	
	on		THE PERSON NAMED IN	Implem	ented?	ed out by Q	HIS NOTE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	
	on		THE PERSON NAMED IN	Implem	ented?	ed out by Q	HIS NOTE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	
2nd Verification of Acti	on		THE PERSON NAMED IN	Implem	ented?	ed out by Q	HIS NOTE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	
2nd Verification of Acti	on		THE PERSON NAMED IN	Implem	ented?	ed out by Q	HIS NOTE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	
2nd Verification of Acti Brd Verification of Acti	on on		THE PERSON NAMED IN	[]Yes	ented?	ed out by Q	HIS NOTE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	
2nd Verification of Acti Brd Verification of Acti	on on		THE PERSON NAMED IN	[]Yes	ented?	ed out by Q	HIS NOTE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	
and Verification of Action of Action of Action	on on on	ecked by	Date	Implem []Yes []Yes []Yes []Yes	ented? [] No [] No [] No		Remarks	
nd Verification of Action	on on on	urs for 5 consequition	Date	[] Yes [] Yes [] Yes	ented? [] No [] No [] No		Remarks	within 5 consecutive overnent action.
ind Verification of Action of Verification of Action Effectiveness of Action Note: If no same defeated	on on on	urs for 5 consequition	Date	[] Yes [] Yes [] Yes	ented? [] No [] No [] No [] No on is considered the ill be re-issued.		Remarks	within 5 consecutive overnent action.
and Verification of Action	on on on	urs for 5 consequition	Date	[] Yes	[] No on is considered of the re-issued		Remarks closed. If the same problem occurs and department to provide new impr	ovement action.
2nd Verification of Action	on on on ets / problems occivation of action still	urs for 5 consequition	Date	[] Yes	[] No on is considered of the re-issued		Remarks	ovement action.
1st Verification of Action 2nd Verification of Action 3rd Verification of Action Effectiveness of Action Note: If no same defect deliveries or 3rd verification Status: Closed Still Open Re-Issue IRF	on on on ets / problems occivation of action still	urs for 5 consequition	Date	[] Yes	[] No on is considered of the re-issued	d effective / d to the affecte	Remarks closed. If the same problem occurs and department to provide new impr	ovement action.